

0040-4039(94)01135-4

Rhodium-Catalyzed Cross Coupling of Unactivated Allenes and 1-Alkynes

Masahiko Yamaguchi,^{*} Kenji Omata, and Masahiro Hirama

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University Aoba, Sendai, 980-77 JAPAN

Abstracts: Mixture of HRh(CO)(PPh₃)₃ and Et₃P catalyzes cross coupling reaction of unactivated allenes and 1-alkynes. C-C bond formation takes place regioselectively at 2-position of allenes giving *endo*-(*E*)-enynes in high yields and selectivities. Added PEt₃ promotes the reaction and enhances the regio- and stereoselectivity.

Catalytic organometal addition to allenes is a versatile method to prepare olefinic compounds provided that the reaction to the three potentially reactive sites is regio- and stereoselectively controlled.¹ Addition of 1-alkynes to allenes catalyzed by palladium complexes was reported by Trost *et al.*² However, most of their reactions were conducted with highly activated allenyl esters, and modest yields of enynes were obtained with unactivated allenes. We now wish to report a rhodium catalyzed cross coupling reaction of unactivated allenes³ and 1-alkynes, which gives conjugated *endo-(E)*-enynes in high yields and selectivities (Scheme 1).



When allene 1 (0.5 mmol) and phenylacetylene (2) (0.75 mmol) are treated in refluxing THF (5 mL) for 5 h in the presence of HRh(CO)(PPh₃)₃ (0.025 mmol) and Et₃P (0.175 mmol),⁴ coupling reaction takes place regioselectively at 2-position of 1 giving *endo*-(*E*)-3 in 81% yield. (*E*)-Configuration was determined by NOE studies; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.04 for *endo*-(*E*)-3 and δ 5.90 for *endo*-(*Z*)-3. Dimerization of the alkyne⁵ and polymerization of the allene are minimal under the reaction conditions. The yield and the selectivity considerably vary by added phosphines (Table 1). Trialkylphosphines with small alkyl groups promotes the reaction and enhances the regio- and stereoselectivities (entries 2 and 3). Liberation of PPh₃ on addition of PEt₃ to HRh(CO)(PPh₃)₃ was detected by ¹H-NMR. Triaryl phosphines also promote the reaction but in low selectivities (entries 5 and 6). Bidentate ligands, dppb, dppp, and dppf show preferences for *exo*-3 (entries 10, 11, and 12). The high selectivity of the HRh(CO)(PPh₃)₃-PEt₃ catalyst is kinetically controlled, since no isomerization took place when pure *endo*-(*Z*)-3 or a 4 : 1 mixture of *endo*-(*E*)-3 and *exo*-3 was subjected to the coupling reaction conditions.

Reactions of a range of allenes and 1-alkynes are summarized in Table 2. Endo-(E)-enynes are obtained generally in high yields. The stereochemistry was determination by NOE. Olefinic protons of (E)-isomers appear at lower field in ¹H-NMR compared with (Z)-isomers. Aryl alkynes and silyl alkynes are more reactive

5690

than aliphatic alkynes (e. g., entries 1, 2, and 4). The stereoselectivity lowers for the latter with relatively hindered allene (entry 19). Behaviors of 2-hydroxy-2-methyl-3-butyne are intermediate between these two (e. g., entry 3). Electronic effect is small in aryl alkynes (entries 10, 11, 12, and 13). Bulkiness of the substituent also does not affect the reaction (entries 15 and 16) except for (o-trimethylsilylphenyl)acetylene (entry 14), which reacts slower than 2 giving an isomeric mixture of enynes. Steric hindrances around the carbon-carbon triple bond retard the reaction, while those along the triple bond do not. Substituent effect in monosubstituted allenes is small (Table 2, entries 1, 5, 7, 10), if not extremely hindered (entry 21). An attempt of kinetic resolution in the coupling of 2 and 3-(t-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1,2-octadiene using HRh(CO)(PPh₃)₃ and (+)diop (2,3-O-isopropylidene-2,3-dihydroxy-1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane) gave *exo*-adduct as well as the recovery of the starting material, both of which were racemic. Reactions of disubstituted allenes, 5,6undecadiene and 3-methyl-1,2-undecadiene, with phenylacetylene were either sluggish or complicated. The coupling reaction is substantially accelerated in acetonitrile solvent, although the regio- and stereoselectivity are lost (entries 9 and 20). Even a catalytic amount of acetonitrile changes the selectivities (Table 1, entries 1 and 13), and the nitrile probably is coordinating to rhodium metal modifying its catalyst properties.

Table 1. Effect of Added Phosphines on the Coupling Reaction of 1 and 2.

HI PhC=CH	Rh(CO)(PPh ₃) ₃ - PR ₃ (5 mol%) (25 mol%)	R CH₃ C≡C	$+$ $C=C$ CH_3
2	THF, refl., 5 h	H C \equiv CPh endo-(E)-3	R C C C Ph endo-(Z)-3
		+ HC=0	CH₂R C≡CPh exo-3
PR ₃	yield/%	endo : exo	E : Z
none	22	5.7 : 1	1.4 : 1
Et ₃ P ^{a)}	81	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
Bu ₃ P	83	> 20 : 1	17:1
i-Pr ₃ P	9	1.5:1	1.7 : 1
Ph3P	38	3.3:1	3.3:1
(o-Tol)3P	56	1.5:1	1:1
(MeO) ₃ P	10	0.25:1	0.3:1
(Me ₂ N) ₃ P	7	4.3:1	3.3 : 1
dppe ^{b)}	trace		
dppp ^{b)}	29	0.8:1	> 20 : 1
dppb ^{b)}	42	0.3:1	> 20 : 1
dppf ^{b)}	25	0.4 : 1	0.45:1
CH ₃ CN	33	1.8:1	0.8:1
	HI PhC≡CH2 2 PR3 PR3 none Et3P ^a) Bu3P <i>i</i> -Pr3P Ph3P (<i>o</i> -Tol)3P (MeO)3P (MeO)3P (Me2N)3P dppb ^b) dppb ^b) dppf ^b) CH3CN	$\begin{array}{c} & HRh(CO)(PPh_{3})_{3} - PR_{3} \\ (5 mol\%) & (25 mol\%) \end{array}$ PhC = CH $\begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \hline \\ PR_{3} & yield/\% \end{array}$ PR_{3} yield/% $\begin{array}{c} & \\ none & 22 \\ Et_{3}P a) & 81 \\ Bu_{3}P & 83 \\ i-Pr_{3}P & 9 \\ Ph_{3}P & 38 \\ (o-Tol)_{3}P & 56 \\ (MeO)_{3}P & 10 \\ (MeO)_{3}P & 10 \\ (MeO)_{3}P & 7 \\ dppe b) & trace \\ dppp b) & 29 \\ dppb b) & 42 \\ dppf b) & 25 \\ CH_{3}CN & 33 \end{array}$	PhC = CH $\frac{\frac{HRh(CO)(PPh_{3})_{3} - PR_{3}}{(5 \text{ mol}\%)(25 \text{ mol}\%)}}{\frac{HF}{(2 \text{ mol}\%)(25 \text{ mol}\%)}}$ $H = CH_{3}$ 2 $H = CPh2$ $H = CPhH = CPh$

a) 35 mol% phosphine was used. b) dppe: Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh₂. dppp: Ph₂P(CH₂)₃PPh₂. dppb: Ph₂P(CH₂)₄PPh₂. dppf: 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene.

entry	allene	R	time/h	yield/%	endo : exo	<i>E</i> : <i>Z</i>
1	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ CH=C=CH ₂	Ph	9	68	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
2		TMS	11	65	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
3		Me ₂ C(OH)	25	60	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
4		n-C5H11	48	76	> 20 : 1	17:1
5	t-BuMe2SiOCH2CH=C=CH2	Ph	22	88	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
6		n-C5H11	20	46	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
7	<i>n</i> -BuCH(OH)CH=C=CH ₂	Ph	9	68	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
8		TMS	13	70	> 20 : 1	10:1
9			2	53	13:1	2.9 : 1 ^{b)}
			_			••••
10	н	Ph	5	81	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
11		m-ClC ₆ H ₄	7.5	91	20:1	20:1
12		o-FC ₆ H ₄	15	89	> 20 : 1	20:1
13		$3,4,5-(MeO)_3C_6H_2$	10	88	> 20 : 1	10:1
14		o-TMSC6H4	36	65	4:1	2:1
15		TMS	18	72	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
16		t-BuPh ₂ Si	24	89	> 20 : 1	> 20 : 1
17		Me ₂ C(OH)	14	84	> 20 : 1	7:1
18		t-Bu	30	65 ^{c)}	> 20 : 1	1.6 :1
19		<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁	48	49	3:1	1:1
20			5	43	2.7 : 1	1.7 : 1 ^{b)}
21	\smile C=C=CH ₂ H	Ph	48	66	20 : 1	> 20 : 1

Table 2. Cross Coupling Reaction of Allenes and 1-Alkynes.^{a)}

a) All the products were characterized by ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, IR, and MS. b) Reaction in CH₃CN. c) The reaction was carried out with 2 mol equiv. of 1-alkyne at 80 °C in a sealed tube.

Reaction of phenylacetylene- d_1 (2- d_1) with allene 4 in refluxing THF for 22 h gave the *endo*-(E)-5 in 66% yield with 22% deuteration at the methyl group (Scheme 2). No deuteration at olefinic hydrogen indicates the absence of the product isomerization. Apparently, the reaction of 2- d_1 is slower than 2 (Cf. Table 2, entry 1), which suggests the involvement of hydrogen migration process at the rate determining step. The low deuteration ratio may be attributable to scrambling most probably with phosphine protons. C-H activation of triphenylphosphine with a rhodium complex is known.⁶ The solvent is not responsible here, since no product deuteration takes place in the reaction in THF- d_8 .





Shown in Figure 1 are possible transition states which explain the isomer formation based on the following assumptions; 1) the coupling reaction takes place via hydrometalation and reductive elimination, 2) regio- and stereoselectivities are kinetically determined at the hydrometalaltion step, 3) phosphine ligands are located at the *trans*-position in the tetra-coordinated square planer intermediate. In the transition states **B** and C, which give *endo*-(Z)-adduct and *exo*-adduct, respectively, the steric repulsions between the rhodium ligands and allene substituent **R** are serious. Therefore, *endo*-(E)-adduct is form via the transition state **A**. Related discussions were made in hydrogenation reaction of 1-alkenes with the same rhodium complex, HRh(CO)(PPh₃)₃.⁷ It may be interesting to note, however, that both 1-alkynes⁸ and allenes⁹ resisted to the hydrogenation forming stable alkynylrhodium and π -allylrhodium complexes, respectively. Apparently, further studies are required on the chemical properties of this useful rhodium hydride catalyst.



References and Notes

- 1. W. Smadja, Chem. Rev., 83, 263 (1983). D. J. Pasto, Tetrahedron, 40, 2805 (1984).
- 2. B. M. Trost and G. Kottirsch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112, 2816 (1990).
- Rhodium catalyzed coupling of allenes and 3-butenoic acid is known: G. Salerno, C. Gallo, G. P. Chiusoli, and M. Costa, J. Organomet. Chem., 317, 373 (1986).
- 4. At first, the coupling reaction was examined with rhodium and ruthenium catalysts in the absence of phosphine. Pentacoordinated hydride complexes HRh(CO)(PPh₃)₃, HRh(PPh₃)₄, or H₂Ru(PPh₃)₄ exhibited some activity, while RhCl(PPh₃)₃, RhCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂, [Rh(COD)Cl]₂, etc., were much less effective.
- H. Singer and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1968, 849.
 S. Yoshikawa, J. Kiji, and J. Furukawa, Makromol. Chem., 178, 1077 (1977).
 I. P. Kovalev, K. V. Yevdakov, Y. A. Strelenko, M. G. Vinogradov, and G. I. Nikishin, J. Organomet. Chem., 386, 139 (1990).
- 6. S. H. Strauss and D. F. Shriver, Inorg. Chem., 17, 3069 (1978).
- 7. C. O'Connor and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., A, 1968, 2665.
- 8. C. K. Brown, D. Georgiou, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., A, 1971, 3120.
- 9. C. K. Brown, W. Mowat, G. Yagupsky, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., A, 1971, 850.

(Received in Japan 18 April 1994; accepted 23 May 1994)